



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,961	02/25/2004	David R. Clark	555255012729	4125

89441 7590 10/19/2009  
Jones Day (RIM) - 2N  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, OH 44114

EXAMINER
----------

ADDY, ANTHONY S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/19/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dlpejeau@jonesday.com  
portfolioprossecution@rim.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/786,961	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CLARK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANTHONY S. ADDY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 5, 16, 48-50 and 53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 5, 16, 48, 49, 50 and 53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 11, 2009 has been entered. **Claims 1, 4-6, 16, 48-50 and 53** are pending in the present application.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claims 1, 4, 5, 16, 48, 49, 50 and 53** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Arguments are directed to newly added limitations and the new ground(s) of rejection based on the newly added limitations follow below.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. **Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 9, 16 and 48-55** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Vasudevan, U.S. Publication Number 20040192282 A1 (hereinafter Vasudevan)** in view of **Mathur, U.S. Patent Number 5,008,814 (hereinafter Mathur)** and in

view of **Okonnen et al., U.S. Publication Number 2004/0243993 A1 (hereinafter Okonnen)** and further in view of **Fedorov, U.S. Patent Number 7,346,634 (hereinafter Fedorov)**.

As to **claims 1 and 53**, Vasudevan teaches a method of updating a mobile device (*e.g.*, *mobile communication device 110*) having a baseline configuration stored in a mobile device memory (see p. 2 [0028] and p. 3 [0036]), comprising: receiving at a mobile device resource requirements data for an update from an update management computing device, the resource requirements data including a memory size of update data associated with the update (see p. 3 [0042]); determining whether the mobile device has a minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory to store the update data by comparing the memory size of the update data to the minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory (see p. 3 [0042]); if the mobile device does not have the minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory to store the update data, then identifying stored mobile device data stored in the mobile device memory that may be purged to make available the minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory (see p. 4 [0047]); transmitting from the mobile device to the update management computing device update request data requesting update data (see p. 4 [0047]); receiving at the mobile device the update data from the update management computing device in response to the transmitted update request data (see p. 4 [0047]).

However, Vasudevan fails to disclose updating the mobile device with the received update data by: creating an updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory; and maintaining the baseline mobile device configuration within the mobile device memory after creating the updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory, wherein the baseline mobile device

configuration is maintained within the mobile device memory for a period of time sufficient to allow the updated mobile device configuration to be tested; wherein updating mobile device with the received update data further comprises: storing an update resource in the mobile device memory, the update resource specifying the baseline mobile device configuration and update mobile device configuration. However, the Examiner contends this feature is very well known in the art as taught for example by Mathur.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Mathur teaches a method and apparatus for updating system management software in a communication network, comprising: updating a mobile device with the received update data by: creating an updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory (see col. 6, lines 3-10 and Fig. 2; *step 204*); maintaining the baseline mobile device configuration within the mobile device memory after creating the updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory, wherein the baseline mobile device configuration is maintained within the mobile device memory for a period of time sufficient to allow the updated mobile device configuration to be tested (see col. 7, line 45 through col. 8, line 23 and Fig. 2; *steps 207-211*); and accepting the updated mobile device configuration or reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration (see *Mathur*, col. 7, lines 31-63, col. 9, lines 20-30 and Fig. 2; *steps 208 & 210*). Mathur further teaches maintaining an old and new version of the system software within the non-volatile storage device (see *Mathur*, col. 6, lines 3-10 and col. 7, lines 45-63), which reads on the claimed limitations of “storing an update resource in the mobile device memory, the update resource specifying the baseline mobile device configuration and update mobile device configuration.”

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Vasudevan with the teachings of Mathur to include a method of updating the mobile device with the received update data by: creating an updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory; and maintaining the baseline mobile device configuration within the mobile device memory after creating the updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory, wherein the baseline mobile device configuration is maintained within the mobile device memory for a period of time sufficient to allow the updated mobile device configuration to be tested, in order to easily update system management software in a communication device from a current code version to a latest updated code version, and verifying at the communication device, to determine whether it received an appropriate update package before applying the update package to the existing version of firmware and/or software in the communication device as per the teachings of Mathur (see abstract, col. 2, lines 7-27 and Fig. 2).

Vasudevan in view of Mathur fails to explicitly teach determining whether an update resource is stored in the mobile device memory during an initialization of the mobile device; upon determining that the update resource is stored in the mobile device memory during an initialization of the mobile device, prompting a mobile device user to select one of the baseline mobile device configuration or updated mobile device configuration; and accepting the updated mobile device configuration if the user selects the updated mobile device configuration; and reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration based if the user selects the baseline mobile device configuration.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Okonnen teaches updating software/firmware in a mobile handset, wherein the mobile handset may display a list of available update agents to an end-user and solicit selection of an update agent to be used to update at least one of software and firmware (see p. 4 [0055]). According to Okonnen, the mobile handset may detect an update to firmware/software when the mobile handset powers up or is rebooted (*i.e., reads on the initialization of a mobile device as claimed*), and the mobile handset may determine the list of available and provisioned update agents to display to the end-user to allow the end-user to select one of the update agents to perform an update (see p. 4 [0057-0058]). Okonnen further teaches based on the selection by the end-user, an update to a particular firmware, software, hardware configuration, etc., in the mobile handset is performed (see p. 4 [0058-0059]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Vasudevan and Mathur with the teachings of Okonnen to include a method, wherein upon determining that the update resource is stored in the mobile device memory during an initialization of the mobile device, prompting a mobile device user to select one of the baseline mobile device configuration or updated mobile device configuration; and accepting the updated mobile device configuration if the user selects the updated mobile device configuration, in order to enable an end-user of a mobile handset to select for processing updates and applying firmware, software, and hardware configuration updates, and selecting an update agent from a plurality of available update agents based upon evaluated criteria, such as the type of the update to be performed as taught by Okonnen (see p. 5 [0077]).

The combination of Vasudevan, Mathur, and Okonnen fails to explicitly teach reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration if the user selects the baseline mobile device configuration.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Fedorov teaches a method for reverting a current configuration setting for an application to a previous configuration setting, wherein a user selects from a display link that gives instructions on actions or steps to perform in order to revert the application to the previous configuration settings (see abstract, col. 8, lines 19-34).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Vasudevan, Mathur and Okonnen with the teachings of Fedorov to include a method of reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration if the user selects the baseline mobile device configuration, in order to provide the benefit of reverting a current application configuration setting to a previous configuration setting in the event of a failure of the current application configuration setting as taught by Fedorov (see col. 2, lines 20-47 and col. 7, lines 24-51).

As to **claim 4**, the combination of Vasudevan, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov teaches all the limitations of claim 1. The combination of Vasudevan, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov further teaches a method, further comprising: upon identifying stored mobile device data stored in the mobile device memory that may be purged to make available the minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047]): determining whether the identified stored mobile device data is stored on a remote storage device operable to communicate with the mobile device over a communication network (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047]); upon determining that the identified stored mobile device data is not stored on the



remote storage device, transmitting the identified stored mobile device data to the remote storage device for storage (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047]); and purging the identified stored mobile device data from the mobile device memory (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047]).

As to **claim 5**, the combination of *Vasudevan*, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov teaches all the limitations of claim 4. The combination of *Vasudevan*, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov further teaches a method, further comprising: updating the mobile device with the received update data (see *Vasudevan*, p. 3 [0042 & 0044]); transmitting a request from the mobile device to the remote storage device for transmission of the identified stored mobile device data from the remote storage device to the mobile device (see *Vasudevan*, p. 3 [0036-0038 & 0043]); receiving the identified stored mobile device data from the remote storage device in response to the transmitted request (see *Vasudevan*, p. 3 [0040, 0043-0044]); and storing the identified stored mobile device data in the mobile device memory (see *Vasudevan*, p. 3 [0043-0044]).

As to **claim 6**, the combination of *Vasudevan*, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov teaches all the limitations of claim 5. The combination of *Vasudevan*, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov further teaches a method, wherein the remote storage device comprises the update management computing device (see *Vasudevan*, p. 5 [0054]).

As to **claim 16**, the combination of *Vasudevan*, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov teaches all the limitations of claim 1. The combination of *Vasudevan*, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov further teaches a method, wherein updating the mobile device with the received update data further comprises copy-on-write of stored baseline configuration data stored into the available memory of the mobile device (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047] and *Mathur*, col. 5, lines 48-60, col. 6, lines 3-23 and Fig. 2).

As to **claim 48**, Vasudevan teaches a mobile device having a baseline configuration stored in a mobile device memory (*e.g., mobile communication device 110*) (see p. 2 [0028] and p. 3 [0036]), comprising: means for receiving resource requirements data for an update from an update management computing device, the resource requirements data including a memory size of update data associated with the update (see p. 3 [0042] [*i.e., It is inherent the mobile device includes a transceiver for communicating with the DA server to receive software updates*]); means for determining whether the mobile device has a minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory to store the update data by comparing the memory size of the update data to the minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory (see p. 3 [0042-0043] and p. 4 [0047]); means, responsive to the mobile device not having the minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory to store the update data, for identifying stored mobile device data stored in the mobile device memory that may be purged to make available the minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory (see p. 3 [0042-0043] and p. 4 [0047]); means for transmitting from to the update management computing device update request data requesting update data (see p. 4 [0047]); means for receiving at the mobile device the update data from the update management computing device in response to the transmitted update request data (see p. 4 [0047]).

However, Vasudevan fails to disclose means for updating the mobile device with the received update data by: creating an updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory; and maintaining the baseline mobile device configuration within the mobile device memory after creating the updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory, wherein the baseline mobile device

configuration is maintained within the mobile device memory for a period of time sufficient to allow the updated mobile device configuration to be tested; wherein the means for updating the mobile device with the received update data further comprises: means for storing an update resource in the mobile device memory, the update resource specifying the baseline mobile device configuration and update mobile device configuration. However, the Examiner contends this feature is very well known in the art as taught for example by Mathur.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Mathur teaches a method and apparatus for updating system management software in a communication network, comprising: updating a mobile device with the received update data by: creating an updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory (see col. 6, lines 3-10 and Fig. 2; *step 204*); and maintaining the baseline mobile device configuration within the mobile device memory after creating the updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory, wherein the baseline mobile device configuration is maintained within the mobile device memory for a period of time sufficient to allow the updated mobile device configuration to be tested (see col. 7, line 45 through col. 8, line 23 and Fig. 2; *steps 207-211*); and accepting the updated mobile device configuration or reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration (see *Mathur*, col. 7, lines 31-63, col. 9, lines 20-30 and Fig. 2; *steps 208 & 210*). Mathur further teaches maintaining an old and new version of the system software within the non-volatile storage device (see *Mathur*, col. 6, lines 3-10 and col. 7, lines 45-63), which reads on the claimed limitations of “storing an update resource in the mobile device memory, the update resource specifying the baseline mobile device configuration and update mobile device configuration.”

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Vasudevan with the teachings of Mathur to include a mobile device, comprising: means for updating the mobile device with the received update data by: creating an updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory; and maintaining the baseline mobile device configuration within the mobile device memory after creating the updated mobile device configuration within the available memory of the mobile device memory, wherein the baseline mobile device configuration is maintained within the mobile device memory for a period of time sufficient to allow the updated mobile device configuration to be tested, in order to easily update system management software in a communication device from a current code version to a latest updated code version, and verifying at the communication device, to determine whether it received an appropriate update package before applying the update package to the existing version of firmware and/or software in the communication device as per the teachings of Mathur (see abstract, col. 2, lines 7-27 and Fig. 2).

Vasudevan in view of Mathur fails to explicitly teach means for determining whether an update resource is stored in the mobile device memory during an initialization of the mobile device; means, responsive to determining that the update resource is stored in the mobile device memory during an initialization of the mobile device, for prompting a mobile device user to select one of the baseline mobile device configuration or updated mobile device configuration; and means for accepting the updated mobile device configuration if the user selects the updated mobile device configuration and for reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration based on the user selection if the user selects the baseline mobile device configuration.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Okonnen teaches updating software/firmware in a mobile handset, wherein the mobile handset may display a list of available update agents to an end-user and solicit selection of an update agent to be used to update at least one of software and firmware (see p. 4 [0055]). According to Okonnen, the mobile handset may detect an update to firmware/software when the mobile handset powers up or is rebooted (*i.e., reads on the initialization of a mobile device as claimed*), and the mobile handset may determine the list of available and provisioned update agents to display to the end-user to allow the end-user to select one of the update agents to perform an update (see p. 4 [0057-0058]). Okonnen further teaches based on the selection by the end-user, an update to a particular firmware, software, hardware configuration, etc., in the mobile handset is performed (see p. 4 [0058-0059]).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Vasudevan and Mathur with the teachings of Okonnen to include a mobile device, comprising: means for determining whether an update resource is stored in the mobile device memory during an initialization of the mobile device; means, responsive to determining that the update resource is stored in the mobile device memory during an initialization of the mobile device, for prompting a mobile device user to select one of the baseline mobile device configuration or updated mobile device configuration; and means for accepting the updated mobile device configuration or reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration based on the user selection, in order to enable an end-user of a mobile handset to select for processing updates and applying firmware, software, and hardware configuration updates, and selecting an update agent from a plurality of available update agents based upon evaluated criteria, such as the type of the update to be performed as taught by Okonnen (see p. 5 [0077]).

The combination of Vasudevan, Mathur, and Okonnen fails to explicitly teach means for reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration if the user selects the baseline mobile device configuration.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Fedorov teaches a computer system for reverting a current configuration setting for an application to a previous configuration setting, wherein a user selects from a display link that gives instructions on actions or steps to perform in order to revert the application to the previous configuration settings (see abstract, col. 8, lines 19-34).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Vasudevan, Mathur and Okonnen with the teachings of Fedorov to include a mobile device, comprising: means for reverting to the baseline mobile device configuration if the user selects the baseline mobile device configuration, in order to provide the benefit of reverting a current application configuration setting to a previous configuration setting in the event of a failure of the current application configuration setting as taught by Fedorov (see col. 2, lines 20-47 and col. 7, lines 24-51).

As to **claim 49**, the combination of Vasudevan, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov teaches all the limitations of claim 48. The combination of Vasudevan, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov further teaches a mobile device, further comprising: means **(LRM)**, responsive identifying stored mobile device data stored in the mobile device memory that may be purged to make available the minimum amount of available memory in the mobile device memory (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047]), for determining whether the identified stored mobile device data is stored on a remote storage device operable to communicate with the mobile device over a communication network (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047]); means **(LRM)**, response to determining that the identified stored

mobile device data is not stored on the remote storage device, transmitting the identified stored mobile device data to the remote storage device for storage (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047]), and for purging the identified stored mobile device data from the mobile device memory (see *Vasudevan*, p. 4 [0047]).

As to **claim 50**, the combination of *Vasudevan*, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov teaches all the limitations of claim 49. The combination of *Vasudevan*, Mathur, Okonnen and Fedorov further teaches a mobile device, further comprising: means for transmitting a request from the mobile device to the remote storage device for transmission of the identified stored mobile device data from the remote storage device to the mobile device (see *Vasudevan*, p. 3 [0036-0038 & 0043]); means for receiving the identified stored mobile device data from the remote storage device in response to the transmitted request (see *Vasudevan*, p. 3 [0040, 0043-0044]); and means for storing the identified stored mobile device data in the mobile device memory (see *Vasudevan*, p. 3 [0043-0044]).

### ***Conclusion***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY S. ADDY whose telephone number is (571)272-7795. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anthony S Addy/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2617